Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Journal of Food Protection

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jfp

**Research** Paper

# Effects of Fermentation Temperature, Drying Temperature, Caliber Size, Starter Culture, and Sodium Lactate on *Listeria monocytogenes* Inactivation During Salami Production

Giannina Brugnini<sup>1,2</sup>, Jesica Rodríguez<sup>1</sup>, Soledad Rodríguez<sup>1</sup>, Inés Martínez<sup>3</sup>, Ronny Pelaggio<sup>3</sup>, Caterina Rufo<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Instituto Polo Tecnológico de Pando, Facultad de Química, Universidad de la República, By Pass de Pando y Ruta 8, Uruguay

<sup>2</sup> Graduate Program in Chemistry, Facultad de Química, Universidad de la República, Uruguay

<sup>3</sup> Latitud - Fundación LATU, Laboratorio Tecnológico del Uruguay, Avenida Italia 6201, Uruguay

# ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Dry fermented sausages Lactic acid bacteria Listeria monocytogenes Response Surface Methodology

# ABSTRACT

The effect of fermentation and drying temperatures, caliber, and sodium lactate on *Listeria monocytogenes* inactivation was studied in salami, produced in a pilot scale, inoculated with  $10^7$  CFU/g of *Listeria innocua* ATCC® 33090 as a surrogate microorganism for *L. monocytogenes*. Fermentation temperature varied between 24 and 30°C, drying temperature between 14 and 20°C, caliber between 5.1 and 13.2 cm, and sodium lactate initial concentrations in salamis were 0 and 2%. *L. innocua* counts, pH and water activity were determined in salamis over time. Sodium lactate (2%) decreased pH drop and *Listeria* inactivation during fermentation. Baranyi & Roberts equation was used to fit the experimental data and to estimate, for each test condition, inactivation rate (k), initial (Y<sub>0</sub>), and final counts of *L. innocua* (Y<sub>END</sub>). Total inactivation was calculated as Y<sub>0</sub> minus Y<sub>END</sub> (Y<sub>0</sub>-Y<sub>END</sub>). Then, using a Box Benkhen experimental design, a quadratic model for k and a two-factor interaction model (2FI) for Y<sub>0</sub> – Y<sub>END</sub> were obtained as functions of fermentation temperature, drying temperature, and caliber size. The models predicted that maximum k and Y<sub>0</sub> – Y<sub>END</sub>, – 2.62 ± 0.14 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g/day and 4.5 ± 0.1 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g, would be obtained fermenting at 30°C and drying at 20°C regardless of caliber. Drying at 14°C allowed *Listeria* growth until a water activity (a<sub>w</sub>) of 0.92 was reached. Therefore, if initial *Listeria* contamination is high (3 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g), drying at low temperatures will compromise product safety.

*Listeria monocytogenes* is a human pathogenic bacterium that may cause listeriosis, a foodborne infection with a low morbidity and a high mortality rate (20–30%) (Buchanan et al., 2017; Lomonaco et al., 2015; Rees et al., 2017). *Listeria monocytogenes* is commonly found in meat and meat products such as salami (Braga et al., 2017; Doménech et al., 2015; EFSA & ECDC, 2021; Meloni, 2015; Mor-Mur & Yuste, 2010; Nightingale et al., 2006; Skandamis & Gounadaki, 2006).

In salami production, lactic acid fermentation (Ordóñez et al., 1999) is used as a preservation method through pH reduction and competitive exclusion in a medium containing between 2% and 3% of sodium chloride (Giello et al., 2018; Rust, 2015). Once a formulation has been established, the fermentation and drying conditions will determine *L. monocytogenes* growth, conditioning the decrease in pH

and water activity ( $a_w$ ) (Leistner, 2000) until reaching the restrictive values ( $pH \le 4.4$  or  $a_w \le 0.92$  or  $pH \le 5.0$  and  $a_w \le 0.94$ ) for *Listeria* growth (International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods (ICMSF), 2005). Reaching these conditions will depend mainly on temperatures and relative moisture used during fermentation and drying (Casaburi et al., 2008), starter culture characteristics (Drosinos et al., 2006; Martín et al., 2022), sugars available for the fermentation, and the use of pH regulators (Girard, 1991; Pellicer et al., 2011) such as sodium lactate (Degenhardt & Anna, 2007; Pellicer et al., 2011). The addition of sodium lactate to salami delays bacterial growth by reducing the intracellular pH. This is because lactic acid, a weak acid, can diffuse across the cell membrane in its undissociated form, dissociate inside the cell, and acidify the cytoplasm (Shelef, 1994). Several commercial salami brands produced in the region con-

\* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfp.2024.100286

Received 27 November 2023; Accepted 25 April 2024

Available online 30 April 2024







E-mail addresses: gbrugnini@fq.edu.uy (G. Brugnini), jsrodriguez@fq.edu.uy (J. Rodríguez), srodriguez@fq.edu.uy (S. Rodríguez), imartin@latitud.org.uy (I. Martínez), rpelaggi@latitud.org.uy (R. Pelaggio), crufo@fq.edu.uy (C. Rufo).

<sup>0362-028</sup>X/© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of International Association for Food Protection. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

tain sodium lactate, and the amount typically added is 2% (Degenhardt & Anna, 2007).

Starter cultures are selected microorganisms that beneficially influence the fermentation or maturation process. They usually include Staphylococcus carnosus and Stapphylococcus xylosus to impart the desired sensory characteristics to the final product (Casaburi et al., 2007; Stekelenburg & Kant-Muermans, 2001) and lactic acid bacteria (LAB) that produce bacteriocins with listericidal activity (Castellano et al., 2008; Martín et al., 2022; Nielsen et al., 1990; Olaove et al., 2011). The LAB species most used to control L. monocytogenes growth in fermented sausages are Pediococcus acidilactici, Lactobacillus sakei, and Lactobacillus curvatus (Drosinos et al., 2006), the last two were renamed as Latilactobacillus sakei and Latilactobacillus curvatus by Zheng (Zheng et al., 2020). LAB listericidal activity varies according to the starter culture composition and the environmental conditions (Cosansu et al., 2010; de Souza Barbosa et al., 2015; Leroy & De Vuyst, 1999). Sodium lactate has been reported to retard the growth of many microorganisms, including L. monocytogenes (Houtsma et al., 1993; Shelef, 1994; Weaver & Shelef, 1993) and LAB (Deumier & Collignan, 2003; Lin & Lin, 2002; Stekelenburg & Kant-Muermans, 2001; Wang, 2000).

Salami fermentation temperature directly affects LAB growth rate, lactic acid production rate, and consequently pH drop rate. Some authors report that at higher fermentation temperatures, *Listeria* growth probability is greater (Brusa et al., 2021).

In Uruguay, fermentation temperatures range between 24 and 29°C and relative air humidity between 80% and 95% (Repiso, 2018). In this stage, since temperatures exceed 60°F (15.5°C), a maximum time in hours is established to reduce pH to 5.3 in order to limit *E. coli* 0157:H7 and *S. aureus* growth (American Meat Institute Foundation (AMI), 1997). Therefore, a rapid pH reduction during fermentation is important not only for the control of *L. monocytogenes* but also for other pathogens.

After fermentation, the  $a_w$  decreases during the drying stage. In order to inhibit *Listeria* growth in the final product, the  $a_w$  must be less than 0.92. The time to reach this final value will depend on several factors, including the temperature and speed of the air in the drying chamber and on the salami caliber size. To reach the same  $a_w$  value, larger caliber sizes require longer drying periods (De Souza et al., 2018). Longer drying times result in greater *L. monocytogenes* reduction in salami (Hussein et al., 2022).

National *L. monocytogenes* regulation for salami establishes that the pathogen must be absent in 25 g sample (Decreto 588/008, 2008). However, the presence of *L. monocytogenes* in salami has been reported (Braga et al., 2017; Intendencia Municipal de Montevideo (IMM), 2023), suggesting that on occasions, the fermentation and drying processes were not able to eliminate this pathogen. Therefore, it is necessary that the salami production process be optimized. USDA-FSIS regulatory guidance indicates that validation studies for salami processing conditions must demonstrate that the process is capable of achieving a reduction of *L. monocytogenes* greater than 3 log (Hussein et al., 2022).

The strategy of obtaining experimental data using an experimental design followed by modeling approaches using the Response Surface Methodology (RSM) is presented as a useful tool to study and understand the behavior of *Listeria monocytogenes* and other pathogens during food processing (Brugnini et al., 2021; Dogan et al., 2022; Mataragas et al., 2006; Rosario et al., 2021). In turn, obtaining experimental data contributes to improving the available predictive models (Brusa et al., 2021; Polese et al., 2017).

Therefore, the aim of the present work was to study and model the effect of fermentation and drying temperature, caliber size, starter cultures, and the use of acidity regulators on the reduction of *L. monocytogenes* during the typical production of Uruguayan salami. For this purpose, a challenge study will be conducted using pilot-scale salami production inoculated with *Listeria innocua* as a surrogate microorgan-

ism for *L. monocytogenes* (Friedly et al., 2008; Tirloni et al., 2019). The study aims to establish the process conditions that will produce a reduction of *Listeria* greater than  $3 \log_{10}$  CFU/g.

## **Materials and Methods**

**Materials.** Frozen and vacuum-packed pork meat and fat (boneless shoulder pulp and back fat, respectively) were acquired at La Bordona (Montevideo, Uruguay). Sodium nitrite (INS 250), sodium chloride, ascorbic acid (INS 300), polyphosphate (INS 452i), and sodium lactate (INS 325) were provided by ERESUR S.A. (Montevideo, Uruguay). Spices (peppercorn, ground nutmeg, coriander, and dehydrated garlic) were purchased at La Molienda S.A. (Montevideo, Uruguay). Artificial casing FIBROUS® 11/2, 5N, and D9 (Viskase® Companies, Inc.) were acquired at Prinzi S.A. (Montevideo, Uruguay). The starter cultures used were S1 (Texel® SA 306, DANISCO) provided by Liderfran S.A. (Montevideo, Uruguay) composed by *L. sakei, S. carnosus,* and *S. xylosus*; S2 (Bactoferm® F-1, Chr. Hansen) composed by *S. xylosus* and *P. pentosaceus*; and S3 (FLC, Chr. Hansen) composed by *S. xylosus, L. curvatus,* and *P. acidilactici.* Hansen starter cultures were acquired at Nortesur S.A. (Montevideo, Uruguay).

*Listeria innocua* culture preparation. *Listeria innocua* strain ATCC® 33090 (Friedly et al., 2008; Tirloni et al., 2019) was maintained at - 80°C in a mixture of 20% glycerol (AppliChem) and 80% tryptic soy broth (TSB, Oxoid Ltd., Hampshire, UK) until use. The culture for salami inoculation was prepared in two steps. First, strain ATCC® 33090 was grown overnight aerobically at 35°C in 10 mL of TSB (Oxoid Ltd., Hampshire, UK) supplemented with 0.6% yeast extract (Oxoid Ltd., Hampshire, UK) in order to reach the stationary phase. Second, the overnight culture was subcultured in 90 mL of TSB and incubated for 12–16 h aerobically at 35°C. Then, cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4,000g for 10 min at 4°C and resuspended with phosphate – buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4, Sigma) to 8–9 log CFU/mL. The inoculum was kept at 4°C and used within the same day.

Starter culture selection. A total of 500 g of minced pork meat containing 25% fat were placed in Cryovac T7335B bags. Starter cultures (S1, S2, or S3), and sugars were added according to the starter manufacturer's instructions. Samples were inoculated with 8 log CFU/g of L. innocua ATCC® 33090 and manually homogenized for 1 min. Then, the bags were sealed and incubated at 25°C for 48 h. Two sets of samples were prepared by duplicate and analyzed at 0, 24, and 48 h for Listeria content and pH. For Listeria quantification, 10 g of sample were taken, placed in sterile stomacher bags, and homogenized with 90 ml of Butterfield's buffer at 230 rpm for 2 min. Appropriate dilutions were plated on Palcam Agar Base (Oxoid Ltd., Hampshire, UK) and incubated at 37°C for 48 h. Counts were logtransformed and compared using ANOVA followed by Fisher's LSD in order to select the starter culture that generated the greatest L. innocua reduction. For pH determination, the second set of samples was used. pH was measured at six different sites of the sample at times 0, 24, and 48 h. Mean values were analyzed by ANOVA followed by Fisher's LSD.

Salami preparation and inoculation with *Listeria innocua* ATCC® 33090. Salami samples were prepared in 25 kg batches within a pilot plant. The meat and fat mixture (75:25) was ground to a fine grain using between 15 and 17 turns of a cutter (LASKA KR 60–2 MV, Linz, Austria) with the blade and plate speed set to 1. Subsequently, the minced meat and fat mixture were transferred to the blender (LASKA T WU5 Vac/P, Linz, Austria) and 150 ppm sodium nitrite, 2.6% sodium chloride, 0.1% ascorbic acid, 0.40% polyphosphate, 0.03% peppercorns, 0.10% ground nutmeg, 0.30% coriander, 0.10% dehydrated garlic, 1% dextrose, starter culture, and sodium lactate were added according to the experimental design. This mixture was homogenized for 1 min (30 s clockwise and 30 s counterclockwise). Then, the mixture was inoculated with 10<sup>7</sup> CFU/g of *L. innocua* 

ATCC® 33090 and mixed for an additional minute. After mixing, the salami were stuffed (with different artificial casing depending on the salami caliber size to be produced: FIBROUS® 11/2 for 5.1 cm salami, 5N for 9.15 cm and D9 for 13.2 cm) using a HANDTMANN VF 12 – 100 sausage stuffer (Servo, Poland). Salami were placed for fermentation in an ALFA LAVAL LR-6 chamber (Surrey, United Kingdom) at relative humidity (RH) of 90  $\pm$  5% for 48 h, and then, dried for 26 days in an ALFA LAVAL kkt 21021 chamber (Surrey, United Kingdom) at RH of 78  $\pm$  5%.

*Listeria innocua* counts in salami. Two samples of 10 g of each salami were aseptically transferred to individual sterile bags with filter and homogenized with 90 mL of Butterfield's buffer in a Stomacher Seward 400C Lab Blenders, at 230 rpm for 2 min. Dilutions were made in Butterfield buffer, and 100  $\mu$ L of the appropriate dilutions of the homogenate were plated in duplicate on Palcam agar plates with the addition of the selective supplement for Palcam (SR0150 Thermo). Plates were incubated at 37°C, and *Listeria* colonies were counted at 48 h. Counts of *L. innocua* were expressed as  $\log_{10}$  CFU/g of salami, and mean values were used for statistical analysis.

**pH and water activity measurements in salami.** The pH was measured with a Hanna® model 9025c pH meter (Nusfalau, Romania) with a spike electrode, penetrating to a depth similar to half the thickness of the salami (Novelli et al., 2017). The a<sub>w</sub> measurements were carried out according to the ISO 21807: 2004 standard with a Rotronic HP23-AW-A-set-40 portable equipment (Bassersdorf, Switzerland). Calibration of the device was done with LiCl (Lithium chloride) standard humidity solutions provided by Rotronic AG (95% RH at 20°C and 80% RH at 20°C). pH and water activity measurements were performed at three different spots of each salami, and mean values were used for statistical analysis.

Sodium lactate effect during fermentation. Salami of 9.15 cm caliber were prepared with and without 2% sodium lactate and inoculated with *L. innocua* ATCC® 33090 according to the process described in 2.4 using starter S3. Then, salami were incubated for 48 h in the fermentation chamber (90% HR) at 24, 27, and 30°C. *L. innocua* counts were performed at 0 and 48 h, and pH was measured at 0, 24, and 48 h. For each experimental condition, *L. innocua* reduction (Red. Lis<sub>48h</sub>) was calculated as the difference between the log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g of *L. innocua* determined at the beginning and at the end of fermentation (48 h).

**Experimental design to evaluate production conditions.** A Box-Behnken experimental design was applied using the Design Expert 13.0 program. Independent variables were fermentation temperature (24, 27, and 30°C), product diameter (5.1, 9.15, and 13.2 cm), and drying temperature (14, 17, and 20°C). The experimental design included sixteen experimental runs with four replicates for the central point (Table 1). Salami were prepared without sodium lactate.

For each experimental run, *L. innocua* counts and pH of salami were determined at 0, 1, 2, 7, 14, 21, and 28 days. Water activity was measured at 0, 2, 7, 14, 21, and 28 days.

Baranyi & Roberts equation (Baranyi & Roberts, 1994) using the software DMFit version 3.5 Excel® available at the ComBase portal (https://browser.combase.cc/DMFit\_Excel.aspx) was used to adjust the experimental *L. innocua* counts for each test condition over time. The Baranyi & Roberts equation used is presented in Eq. (1). *L. innocua* inactivation rate (k) expressed in log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g/day, the initial counts of *L. innocua* reached at the end of the drying stage (Y<sub>END</sub>) expressed in log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g were estimated for each test condition. *L. innocua* reduction (Y<sub>0</sub> - Y<sub>END</sub>) in log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g was calculated for each test condition.

k ( $\log_{10}$  CFU/g/day) and Y<sub>0</sub> – Y<sub>END</sub> ( $\log_{10}$  CFU/g) were the responses analyzed in the Box-Behnken design. Responses were fitted to first-order, second-order, and quadratic models. For each response, the model with the best fit was selected by the Sequential Sum of Square Method and assessed based on statistically significant

Table 1

Box-Behnken experimental	design mat	rix with the	experimental runs
--------------------------	------------	--------------	-------------------

Runs	Tferm (°C) <sup>a</sup>	Caliber size (cm)	Tdry (°C) <sup>b</sup>
1	30	13.2	17
2	30	9.15	20
3	30	9.15	14
4	30	5.10	17
5	27	13.2	20
6	27	13.2	14
7	27	9.15	17
8	27	9.15	17
9	27	9.15	17
10	27	9.15	17
11	27	5.10	20
12	27	5.10	14
13	24	13.2	17
14	24	9.15	20
15	24	9.15	14
16	24	5.10	17

 $^{a}$  Tferm = Temperature in the fermentation chamber.

<sup>b</sup> Tdry = Temperature in the drying chamber.

coefficients and R<sup>2</sup> values using ANOVA, with a significance level of  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

$$Yt = Y0 + k * A(t) + \frac{1}{m} * In(1 + \frac{e^{-mkA(t)} - 1}{e^{m(Y0 - YEND)}})$$
(1)

 $Y_t = L$ . innocua counts at time t (log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g).

 $Y_0$  = initial *L. innocua* counts (log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g)).

k = L. *innocua* inactivation rate (log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g/day).

 $Y_{END}$  = final *L. innocua* counts (log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g).

t = time (day).

A(t) = t (when no lag phase is considered).

m = curvature parameter (m = 10).

In addition, the change in *Listeria* counts during the drying stage was calculated as the difference between  $Y_{END}$  and the *Listeria* counts at the end of the fermentation stage ( $Y_{48h}$ ), using the predicted value for  $Y_{END}$  and the experimental value for  $Y_{48h}$ , both expressed in  $log_{10}$  CFU/g.  $Y_{END} - Y_{48h}$  above 0.5 indicated an increase in *Listeria* population and below -0.5 indicated a reduction. When  $Y_{END} - Y_{48h}$  was between -0.5 and 0.5, it was considered that *Listeria* population remained constant during that period (Novelli et al., 2017).  $Y_{END} - Y_{48h}$  values were analyzed by ANOVA followed by Fischer's LSD analysis.

**Optimization and model validation.** The optimal process conditions (Tferm, Tdry, and caliber size) were obtained by applying the following constraints on the response factors: i) maximize *L. innocua* inactivation rate (k) and ii) maximize *L. innocua* reduction between the initial and the final time of the process ( $Y_0 - Y_{END}$ ).

To validate the proposed model, three experiments were carried out using the optimal conditions as the checkpoint. Experimental responses (k and  $Y_0 - Y_{END}$ ) of the checkpoint were compared to the predicted results from the fitted models to evaluate the precision of the polynomial equations (value within IC95 predicted by model).

## Results

**Starter Culture selection.** The three starter cultures tested caused a significant reduction (P < 0.05) in the pH of the mixtures during fermentation, reaching values below 5 at 48 h (4.8 for S1, 4.7 for S2, and 4.8 for S3) (Table 2). S1 and S3 significantly (P < 0.05) reduced *L. innocua* content in meat, while S2 had a negligible capacity to reduce *Listeria* under the trial conditions (Table 2). S1 produced a significant *Listeria* reduction of 1.9 ± 0.3 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g, and S3 reduced 3.7 ± 0.1 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g in 48 h. S3 was selected for the subsequent assays.

#### Table 2

Ph values measured at time zero,48 h and. L. innocua	ATCC® 33090 reduction obtained in minced meat	t samples using different starter cultures at 25°C
------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------

Starter culture	Initial pH	pH at 48 h	L. innocua red. at 48 h $(\log_{10} \text{ CFU/g})^{d}$
S1 <sup>a</sup>	$5.5 \pm 0.0 \mathrm{Aa}^{\mathrm{e}}$	4.8 ± 0.0Ba	1.9 ± 0.3a
S2 <sup>b</sup>	$5.4 \pm 0.0$ Ab	$4.7 \pm 0.0$ Bb	$0.6 \pm 0.0b$
S3 <sup>c</sup>	$5.6 \pm 0.0$ Ac	4.8 ± 0.0Ba	$3.7 \pm 0.1c$

<sup>a</sup> S1 = L. sakei + S. carnosus + S. xylosus.

<sup>b</sup> S2 = S. xylosus + P. pentosaceus.

<sup>c</sup> S3 = S. xylosus + L. curvatus + P. acidilactici.

<sup>d</sup> L. innocua initial inoculum  $1x10^8$  CFU/g.

<sup>e</sup> Values followed by different uppercase letters within rows are significantly different (P < 0.05). Values followed by different lowercase letters within columns are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Sodium lactate effect on Listeria reduction and pH during fermentation. The addition of 2% sodium lactate to the salami formula affected Listeria and pH reduction during fermentation. After 48 h of fermentation, L. innocua reduction, regardless of the fermentation temperature, was always significantly lower (P < 0.05) when 2% sodium lactate was included in the salami formulation (Table 3) and varied between 1.7  $\,\pm\,$  0.2 and 3.2  $\,\pm\,$  0.0  $\log_{10}$  CFU/g. In the absence of sodium lactate in the temperature range tested, no variation was observed in Listeria reduction with temperature change (Table 3). The reduction of Listeria in salami with 2% sodium lactate was higher at 30°C (3.2  $\pm$  0.0 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g) than at 27°C and 24°C (1.7  $\pm$  0.2 and 2.0  $\pm$  0.2 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g, respectively) (Table 3). Regarding pH, irrespective of fermentation temperature, the addition of sodium lactate decreased the pH drop rate during fermentation. pH values were always higher in salami with lactate than in salami without lactate, except for a fermentation temperature of 27°C at 48 h (Table 3).

Effect of caliber size, fermentation temperature, and drying temperature on *Listeria* inactivation rate (k), and on the difference in *Listeria* population in salami without sodium lactate at the beginning (Y<sub>0</sub>) and at the end (Y<sub>END</sub>) of the process according to the Baranyi & Roberts equation. For all the experimental runs, *Listeria* log counts over time were satisfactorily modeled according to Baranyi & Roberts equation without considering a latency phase (Fig. A1 in Supplementary material). R<sup>2</sup> of the fit varied between 0.73 and 0.94, and the standard errors for *k* and *Y<sub>END</sub>* varied between 0.26 and 0.72, and between 0.1 and 0.3 respectively indicating an adequate fit for experimental microbiological models (Table 4) (Gan et al., 2007; Mataragas et al., 2011). The *Listeria* inactivation rate varied between -2.04 and  $-3.17 \log_{10}$  CFU/g/day and *Y*<sub>END</sub> varied between 2.7 and 3.9  $\log_{10}$  CFU/g (Table 4).

**Inactivation rate analysis (k, log**<sub>10</sub> **CFU/g/day).** A quadratic model was used to fit the inactivation rate k (P < 0.0001), which

allowed to explain 89% of the variance ( $R^2 = 0.89$ ). Fermentation temperature, fermentation temperature<sup>2,</sup> and interaction between fermentation temperature\*caliber (Tferm\*caliber) were the significant terms (P < 0.05) in the model, while drying temperature (Tdry) was not significant (Eq. (2)). The model did not show lack of fit (F = 2.42, p = 0.2516), the adjusted  $R^2$ , predicted  $R^2$  parameters were satisfactory (0.85 and 0.68 respectively) and the term "Adequate precision" was 15.3, this conditions allow to navigate in the design space. The model equation for the inactivation rate is presented in Eq. (2).

$$\begin{split} Y_1 &= k(\log_{10}CFU/g/day) \\ &= -14.02861 + 1.09097 * Tferm - 0.337037 * Caliber \\ &\quad + 0.012963 * Tferm * Caliber - 0.024444 * Tferm^2 \end{split}$$

The 3D response surface plots allow to visualize the response in the design space (Fig. 1). The inactivation rate increased as Tferm increased. Changes in k were more pronounced between 27 and 30°C than between 24 and 27°C (Fig. 1). Although the salami caliber size was not a significant variable, the interaction between caliber and Tferm was significant. This effect was negligible at temperatures below 26°C, becoming more relevant at higher temperatures (Fig. 1). As Tferm increased k tended to increase as caliber size decreased (Fig. 1).

**Reduction level analysis (** $Y_0 - Y_{END}$ ,  $log_{10}$  CFU/g). The reduction level ( $Y_0 - Y_{END}$ ) was fitted (P < 0.0001) with a reduced two-factor interaction model (2FI model) with an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.94 and a similarity between predicted R<sup>2</sup> and adjusted R<sup>2</sup> (0.75 and 0.89). The lack of fit was not significant (F = 1.26, p = 0.4607) and the "Adequate Precision" term was 17.4, allowing to navigate in the design space. Tferm, caliber, Tdry, and the interaction between Tferm\*caliber and Tferm\*T-dry were significant terms of the model (P < 0.05), and the model equation for ( $Y_0 - Y_{END}$ ) is presented in Eq. (3).

#### Table 3

Listeria reduction and pH value at 24 and 48 h of fermentation for 9.15 cm caliber salami at different fermentation temperatures and in the presence/absence of sodium lactate

Tferm (C) <sup>a</sup>	$(C)^a$ Red.Lis <sub>48h</sub> $(log_{10} CFU/g)^b$ pH at 24 h			pH at 48 h		
	<b>0% SL</b> <sup>c</sup>	<b>2% SL</b> <sup>d</sup>	0% SL	2% SL	0% SL	2% SL
30	4.5 ± 0.1Aa <sup>e</sup>	3.2 ± 0.0 Ba	5.4 ± 0.1 Aa	5.7 ± 0.1 Ba	4.9 ± 0.0 Aa	5.1 ± 0.0 Ba
27	4.3 ± 0.1Aa	1.7 ± 0.2 Bb	5.6 ± 0.1 Aa	$6.1 \pm 0.0 \text{ Bb}$	5.0 ± 0.0 Ab	5.1 ± 0.1 Aa
24	4.5 ± 0.2Aa	$2.0~\pm~0.2~Bb$	$5.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ Ab}$	$6.1 \pm 0.0 \text{ Bb}$	$5.2 \pm 0.0 \text{ Ac}$	$5.4 \pm 0.0 \text{ Bb}$

<sup>a</sup> Tferm = fermentation chamber temperature in °C. Tferm (mean value  $\pm$  standard deviation) for 30 °C (29.6  $\pm$  0.2°C), 27°C (26.6  $\pm$  0.2°C), and 24°C (23.7  $\pm$  0.2°C).

<sup>b</sup> Red.Lis<sub>48hs</sub> = *L. innocua* reduction after 48 h of fermentation.

<sup>c</sup> 0% SL = 0% Sodium lactate (w/w).

<sup>d</sup> 2% SL = 2% Sodium lactate (w/w).

<sup>e</sup> Means of two values per samples  $\pm$  standard deviation. Mean values followed by different uppercase letters within rows are significantly different (P < 0.05). Mean values followed by different lowercase letters within columns are significantly different (P < 0.05).

#### Table 4

Parameters	estimated	according	to the	Baranyi	&	Roberts	equation	using	DMFit	software

3013.217 $-2.64 \pm 0.47$ 0.92 $2.6 \pm 0.2$ 7.1309.1520 $-2.68 \pm 0.23$ 0.98 $2.7 \pm 0.1$ 7.3309.1514 $-2.81 \pm 0.41$ 0.93 $3.5 \pm 0.1$ 7.4305.117 $-3.17 \pm 0.26$ 0.97 $2.7 \pm 0.1$ 7.12713.220 $-2.21 \pm 0.41$ 0.89 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.7279.1517 $-2.29 \pm 0.34$ 0.94 $3.2 \pm 0.1$ 7.4279.1517 $-2.29 \pm 0.34$ 0.94 $3.2 \pm 0.1$ 7.3279.1517 $-2.23 \pm 0.66$ 0.94 $3.2 \pm 0.1$ 7.3279.1517 $-2.23 \pm 0.38$ 0.94 $3.2 \pm 0.1$ 7.5279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.120 $-2.30 \pm 0.42$ 0.90 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.114 $-2.13 \pm 0.52$ 0.86 $3.8 \pm 0.2$ 7.6	Tferm <sup>a</sup> (°C)	Caliber (cm)	Tdry <sup>b</sup> (°C)	k° (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g/day)	<b>R<sup>2</sup></b> d	Y <sub>END</sub> <sup>e</sup> (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)	Y0 <sup>f</sup> (log10 CFU/g)
309.1520 $-2.68 \pm 0.23$ 0.98 $2.7 \pm 0.1$ 7.3309.1514 $-2.81 \pm 0.41$ 0.93 $3.5 \pm 0.1$ 7.4305.117 $-3.17 \pm 0.26$ 0.97 $2.7 \pm 0.1$ 7.12713.220 $-2.21 \pm 0.41$ 0.89 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.72713.214 $-2.23 \pm 0.61$ 0.81 $3.6 \pm 0.3$ 7.9279.1517 $-2.29 \pm 0.34$ 0.94 $3.2 \pm 0.1$ 7.4279.1517 $-2.37 \pm 0.38$ 0.94 $3.2 \pm 0.1$ 7.3279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.120 $-2.30 \pm 0.42$ 0.90 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.114 $-2.13 \pm 0.52$ 0.86 $3.8 \pm 0.2$ 7.6	30	13.2	17	$-2.64 \pm 0.47$	0.92	$2.6 \pm 0.2$	7.1
309.1514 $-2.81 \pm 0.41$ 0.93 $3.5 \pm 0.1$ 7.4305.117 $-3.17 \pm 0.26$ 0.97 $2.7 \pm 0.1$ 7.12713.220 $-2.21 \pm 0.41$ 0.89 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.72713.214 $-2.23 \pm 0.61$ 0.81 $3.6 \pm 0.3$ 7.9279.1517 $-2.29 \pm 0.34$ 0.94 $3.2 \pm 0.1$ 7.4279.1517 $-2.42 \pm 0.36$ 0.94 $3.2 \pm 0.1$ 7.3279.1517 $-2.37 \pm 0.38$ 0.94 $3.3 \pm 0.1$ 7.5279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.120 $-2.30 \pm 0.42$ 0.90 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.114 $-2.13 \pm 0.52$ 0.86 $3.8 \pm 0.2$ 7.6	30	9.15	20	$-2.68 \pm 0.23$	0.98	$2.7 \pm 0.1$	7.3
305.117 $-3.17 \pm 0.26$ $0.97$ $2.7 \pm 0.1$ $7.1$ 2713.220 $-2.21 \pm 0.41$ $0.89$ $3.2 \pm 0.2$ $7.7$ 2713.214 $-2.23 \pm 0.61$ $0.81$ $3.6 \pm 0.3$ $7.9$ 279.1517 $-2.29 \pm 0.34$ $0.94$ $3.2 \pm 0.1$ $7.4$ 279.1517 $-2.42 \pm 0.36$ $0.94$ $3.2 \pm 0.1$ $7.3$ 279.1517 $-2.37 \pm 0.38$ $0.94$ $3.3 \pm 0.1$ $7.5$ 279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ $0.93$ $3.2 \pm 0.2$ $7.5$ 279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ $0.93$ $3.2 \pm 0.2$ $7.5$ 279.1514 $-2.13 \pm 0.52$ $0.86$ $3.8 \pm 0.2$ $7.6$	30	9.15	14	$-2.81 \pm 0.41$	0.93	$3.5 \pm 0.1$	7.4
2713.220 $-2.21 \pm 0.41$ 0.89 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.72713.214 $-2.23 \pm 0.61$ 0.81 $3.6 \pm 0.3$ 7.9279.1517 $-2.29 \pm 0.34$ 0.94 $3.2 \pm 0.1$ 7.4279.1517 $-2.42 \pm 0.36$ 0.94 $3.2 \pm 0.1$ 7.3279.1517 $-2.37 \pm 0.38$ 0.94 $3.3 \pm 0.1$ 7.5279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.120 $-2.30 \pm 0.42$ 0.90 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.114 $-2.13 \pm 0.52$ 0.86 $3.8 \pm 0.2$ 7.6	30	5.1	17	$-3.17 \pm 0.26$	0.97	$2.7 \pm 0.1$	7.1
2713.214 $-2.23 \pm 0.61$ 0.81 $3.6 \pm 0.3$ 7.9279.1517 $-2.29 \pm 0.34$ 0.94 $3.2 \pm 0.1$ 7.4279.1517 $-2.42 \pm 0.36$ 0.94 $3.2 \pm 0.1$ 7.3279.1517 $-2.37 \pm 0.38$ 0.94 $3.3 \pm 0.1$ 7.5279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.120 $-2.30 \pm 0.42$ 0.90 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.114 $-2.13 \pm 0.52$ 0.86 $3.8 \pm 0.2$ 7.6	27	13.2	20	$-2.21 \pm 0.41$	0.89	$3.2 \pm 0.2$	7.7
279.1517 $-2.29 \pm 0.34$ 0.94 $3.2 \pm 0.1$ 7.4279.1517 $-2.42 \pm 0.36$ 0.94 $3.2 \pm 0.1$ 7.3279.1517 $-2.37 \pm 0.38$ 0.94 $3.3 \pm 0.1$ 7.5279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.120 $-2.30 \pm 0.42$ 0.90 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.114 $-2.13 \pm 0.52$ 0.86 $3.8 \pm 0.2$ 7.6	27	13.2	14	$-2.23 \pm 0.61$	0.81	$3.6 \pm 0.3$	7.9
279.1517 $-2.42 \pm 0.36$ $0.94$ $3.2 \pm 0.1$ $7.3$ 279.1517 $-2.37 \pm 0.38$ $0.94$ $3.3 \pm 0.1$ $7.5$ 279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ $0.93$ $3.2 \pm 0.2$ $7.5$ 275.120 $-2.30 \pm 0.42$ $0.90$ $3.2 \pm 0.2$ $7.5$ 275.114 $-2.13 \pm 0.52$ $0.86$ $3.8 \pm 0.2$ $7.6$	27	9.15	17	$-2.29 \pm 0.34$	0.94	$3.2 \pm 0.1$	7.4
279.1517 $-2.37 \pm 0.38$ 0.94 $3.3 \pm 0.1$ 7.5279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.120 $-2.30 \pm 0.42$ 0.90 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.114 $-2.13 \pm 0.52$ 0.86 $3.8 \pm 0.2$ 7.6	27	9.15	17	$-2.42 \pm 0.36$	0.94	$3.2 \pm 0.1$	7.3
279.1517 $-2.24 \pm 0.45$ 0.93 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.120 $-2.30 \pm 0.42$ 0.90 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5275.114 $-2.13 \pm 0.52$ 0.86 $3.8 \pm 0.2$ 7.6	27	9.15	17	$-2.37 \pm 0.38$	0.94	$3.3 \pm 0.1$	7.5
27 5.1 20 $-2.30 \pm 0.42$ 0.90 $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 7.5   27 51 14 $-2.13 \pm 0.52$ 0.86 $3.8 \pm 0.2$ 7.6	27	9.15	17	$-2.24 \pm 0.45$	0.93	$3.2 \pm 0.2$	7.5
$27$ 51 14 $-213 \pm 052$ 0.86 $38 \pm 0.2$ 76	27	5.1	20	$-2.30 \pm 0.42$	0.90	$3.2 \pm 0.2$	7.5
	27	5.1	14	$-2.13 \pm 0.52$	0.86	$3.8 \pm 0.2$	7.6
24 13.2 17 $-2.14 \pm 0.69$ 0.73 $3.6 \pm 0.3$ 7.8	24	13.2	17	$-2.14 \pm 0.69$	0.73	$3.6 \pm 0.3$	7.8
24 9.15 20 $-2.29 \pm 0.59$ 0.79 $3.4 \pm 0.3$ 7.5	24	9.15	20	$-2.29 \pm 0.59$	0.79	$3.4 \pm 0.3$	7.5
24 9.15 14 $-2.18 \pm 0.36$ 0.93 $3.6 \pm 0.2$ 7.7	24	9.15	14	$-2.18 \pm 0.36$	0.93	$3.6 \pm 0.2$	7.7
245.117 $-2.04 \pm 0.72$ $0.73$ $3.9 \pm 0.3$ $7.7$	24	5.1	17	$-2.04 \pm 0.72$	0.73	$3.9 \pm 0.3$	7.7

<sup>a</sup> Tferm = fermentation chamber temperature in °C. Tferm (mean value ± standard deviation) for 30 °C (29.6 ± 0.2°C), 27°C (26.6 ± 0.2°C), and 24°C  $(23.7 \pm 0.2^{\circ}C).$ 

<sup>b</sup> Tdry = drying chamber temperature in °C. Tdry (mean value  $\pm$  standard deviation) for 20°C (19.8  $\pm$  1.0°C), 17°C (17.4  $\pm$  0.4°C) and 14°C (14.8  $\pm$  0.4°C). <sup>c</sup> k = Listeria inactivation rate predicted value  $\pm$  root mean squared error (RMSE).

<sup>d</sup>  $R^2 = R^2$  of the fit.

<sup>e</sup>  $Y_{END} = L$ . innocua predicted at the end of the process (2 days of fermentation + 26 days of drying)  $\pm$  RMSE.

<sup>f</sup>  $Y_0 = L$ . *innocua* at the beginning of the process.



Figure 1. 3D response surface plots generated from the Box-Behnken design showing the effect of fermentation temperature (Tferm) and salami caliber size on L. innocua inactivation rate k (log10 CFU/g/day) for a drying temperature of 20°C.

$$\begin{split} Y_2 &= (Y_0 - Y_{END}) \left( \log_{10} CFU/g \right) \\ &= 7.46179 - 0.204028 * Tferm + 0.341152 * Caliber \\ &\quad - 0.392701 * Tdry - 0.007407 * Tferm * Caliber + 0.018611 \\ &\quad * Tferm * Tdry - 0.005761 Calibre * Tdry \end{split}$$

Figure 2A, 2B, and 2C show the effect of the interaction between Tferm and Tdry in  $Y_0 - Y_{END}$ . It is observed that  $Y_0 - Y_{END}$  increases (hence also the total reduction of Listeria in the process) with the simultaneous increase of Tferm and Tdry. This effect is observed for the three salami calibers evaluated. Figure 2D, 2E, and 2F show the interaction between Tferm and salami caliber size. It is observed that  $Y_0 - Y_{END}$  tends to decrease when Tferm and caliber decrease, and this decrease is more pronounced as Tdry increases.

Optimization and model validation. Based on the models obtained, the process conditions that maximize L. innocua inactivation rate (k) and total L. innocua reduction  $(Y_0 - Y_{END})$  with a desirability factor close to 1 were: Tferm of 30°C and Tdrv of 20°C for the three calibers sizes. Using these conditions, the model predicted a k of  $-3.04 \pm 0.16 \log_{10} \text{ CFU/g/day}$  and a (Y<sub>0</sub> - Y<sub>END</sub>) of 4.6  $\pm 0.1$  $\log_{10}$  CFU/g (caliber size 5.1 cm),  $-2.83 \pm 0.16 \log_{10}$  CFU/g/day and 4.7  $\pm$  0.1 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g (caliber size 9.15 cm) and  $-2.62 \pm 0.1$  $6 \log_{10} \text{CFU/g/day}$  and  $4.8 \pm 0.1 \log_{10} \text{CFU/g}$  (caliber size 13.2 cm).

The experimental responses at Tferm of 30°C and Tdry of 20°C for salami caliber size 9.15 cm were compared to the predicted model results to evaluate the precision of the polynomial equations. The experimental values for k and  $Y_0 - Y_{END}$  were  $-2.62 \pm 0.14 \log_{10}$ CFU/g/day and 4.5  $\pm$  0.1 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g, respectively, both experimental values were within the 95% CI of the predicted outcome by the models.

Listeria innocua behavior during drying. Despite having achieved a Listeria reduction greater than 3 log10 CFU/g at the end of the process (28 days), in some experimental runs, Listeria population at 28 days ( $Y_{END}$ ) was higher than the Listeria population at the end of the fermentation step  $(Y_{48h})$ . The change in *Listeria* counts between the end of the drying stage and the end of fermentation ( $Y_{END} - Y_{48h}$ ) (Table B1 in Supplementary material) was calculated. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of  $Y_{\rm END}-\,Y_{\rm 48h}$  indicated that caliber size was not a significant variable (P > 0.05). A new ANOVA test was performed grouping Y<sub>END</sub> - Y<sub>48h</sub> by drying temperature for different fermentation temperatures (Fig. 3). This analysis showed that for all conditions tested with Tdry of 14°C, regardless of the fermentation temperature,  $Y_{END} - Y_{48h}$  was  $\ge 0.5 \log_{10}$  CFU/g, indicating that Listeria growth occurred. At a Tdry of 17°C, only the condition with fermentation at 24°C allowed the growth of Listeria. When drying was at 20°C for all the fermentation temperatures tested,  $Y_{END} - Y_{48h}$  was between -0.5 and 0.5 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g, indicating that Listeria counts did not change in this period (Fig. 3).

 $Y_{END}$  = Listeria at the end of the process (28 days);  $Y_{48h}$  = Listeria at the beginning of drying stage. Error bars indicate the standard deviations (n = 3). Different uppercase letters within a drying temperature indicate significant differences (P < 0.05). Under the conditions that allowed the growth of Listeria during drying, the salamis required



**Figure 2.** 3D response surface plots generated from the Box-Behnken design showing: the effect of fermentation temperature (Tferm) and drying temperature (Tdry) on *L. innocua* reduction ( $\log_{10}$  CFU/g) for a 5.1 cm salami (A) 9.15 cm salami (B) and 13.2 cm salami (C). Effect of fermentation temperature (Tferm) and salami caliber size on *L. innocua* reduction  $Y_0 - Y_{END}$  ( $\log_{10}$  CFU/g) for a drying temperature of 14°C (D) 17°C (E) and 20°C (F).

more time to reach pH and  $a_w$  restrictive for *Listeria* growth (pH  $\leq$  5.0 and  $a_w \leq$  0.94 or  $a_w \leq$  0.92) (Table B2 in Supplementary material), once restrictive  $a_w$  was reached, *Listeria* counts remain constant up to day 28.

# Discussion

In the present work, the fate of *Listeria* during salami production was studied at a pilot scale using *L. innocua* strain ATCC® 33090 as



Figure 3. Variation in Listeria counts during the drying stage expressed as  $\rm Y_{END}$  –  $\rm Y_{48h}.$ 

a surrogate microorganism. First, the incidence of different starter cultures and sodium lactate on *Listeria* reduction and pH drop during fermentation were analyzed. Then, the effect of fermentation and drying temperatures and salami caliber size on the survival of *Listeria* was analyzed using the RSM approach.

The three starter cultures tested caused a significant (P < 0.05) pH drop, below 5, in the mixture, indicating that the fermentation process was adequate (Ordóñez et al., 1999). However, the ability to reduce Listeria population was different for each starter culture (Table 2). This difference could be explained by the bacterial composition of the starter cultures. S1, S2, and S3 contain potentially bacteriocin - producing LAB species as L. sakei, L. curvatus, P. acidilactici, and P. pentosaceus (Castellano et al., 2008; Martín et al., 2022; Olaoye et al., 2011); the greater reduction of L. innocua produced by S3 may be due to the joint bactericidal action of P. acidilactici and L. curvatus while S1 and S2 contain only one bactericidal specie. In this regard, Nielsen et al. (1990) reported that P. acidilactici produces a bacteriocin with an inhibitory and bactericidal effect against L. monocytogenes associated with fresh meat and Casaburi et al. (2016) reported that the Lactobacillus curvatus 54M16 strain produces an active bacteriocin against L. monocytogenes in culture media. Giello et al. (2018) confirmed that the Lactobacillus curvatus 54M16 strain was capable of inhibiting L. monocytogenes in fermented sausages during the course of fermentation. S2 contains P. pentosaceus which, despite being a potentially bacteriocin-producing species (Olaoye et al., 2011), in this work, no significant listericidal effect was observed. This can be explained by the dependence of bacteriocin production on the P. pentosaceus strain used and its interaction with the food matrix (Cosansu et al., 2010; de Souza Barbosa et al., 2015).

The addition of 2% sodium lactate during fermentation interfered with pH drop and Listeria reduction (Table 3). Although sodium lactate has bacteriostatic action against several pathogens such as L. monocytogenes, Salmonella spp., Staphylococcus aureus, and Clostridium spp. (Houtsma et al., 1993; Shelef, 1994; Weaver & Shelef, 1993), in this study, it was not observed an extra Listeria inhibition due to the addition of sodium lactate. The main role of sodium lactate in salami production is to regulate the rate of acidification (Ordóñez et al., 1999) by inhibiting LAB growth extending their lag phase (Deumier & Collignan, 2003; Lin & Lin, 2002; Stekelenburg & Kant-Muermans, 2001; Wang, 2000). Therefore, the addition of sodium lactate may decrease lactic acid production and possibly bacteriocin production by L. curvatus and P. acidilactici contained in the starter used. In this study, the use of sodium lactate under working conditions might compromise product safety by not allowing more than a 3 log cfu/gL innocua reduction after 48 h of fermentation. Furthermore, the use of sodium lactate in the tested conditions extended the time while salami are exposed to pH and temperature conditions favorable for the growth of *Listeria* (pH > 5.0 and  $a_w > 0.94$  or  $a_w > 0.92$ ) and for other pathogens, such as *E. coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* (pH > 5.3 and temperature > 15.5°C) (American Meat Institute Foundation (AMI), 1997). The addition of lower concentrations of sodium lactate should be studied to fully define its impact on the process.

The strategy of fitting *Listeria* log colony number over time for each test condition with Baranyi & Roberts equation and then modeling k and  $Y_0 - Y_{END}$  as a function of Tferm, Tdry, and caliber size was adequate to explain *Listeria* behavior. In the present study, the Baranyi & Roberts model fit was adequate ( $R^2$  0.73–0.97) (Gan et al., 2007; Mataragas et al., 2011). A greater difference was observed between the predicted and the experimental *Listeria* counts in the area of the curve corresponding to the first days of the drying stage (Fig. A1 in Supplementary Materials), nevertheless, in all cases, k and  $Y_{END}$  were predicted with an adequate standard error (Table 4). The RSM generated models for k and  $Y_0 - Y_{END}$  with an adequate fit (0.89 and 0.94, respectively), which allows to navigate in the design space (Gan et al., 2007) and to predict these responses as a function of Tferm, Tdry, and salami caliber size.

Tferm was the main factor in the quadratic model for k. According to the model, an increase in Tferm produced an increase in k (Fig. 1). *Listeria* inactivation during fermentation is mainly due to the action of lactic acid and bacteriocin, products of the metabolism of the lactic acid bacteria present in the starter culture (de Souza Barbosa et al., 2015; Leroy & De Vuyst, 1999; Martín et al., 2022). In this study, the pH drop after 24 h of fermentation at Tferm 30°C was 0.79 while at Tferm 24°C was only 0.09 (Table B3 in Supplementary material) suggesting a higher salami content of lactic acid at 30°C. Several authors have reported that temperatures above 25°C favor lactic acid bacteria growth and bacteriocin production (Mataragas et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2018).

In the *Listeria* total reduction level  $(Y_0 - Y_{END})$  model, the three factors Tferm, Tdry, and caliber size were significant (P < 0.05). An increase in Tferm and Tdry contributed to increase  $Y_0 - Y_{END}$ . The conditions that maximized both k and  $Y_0 - Y_{END}$  values were, for all calibers, a fermentation temperature of 30°C and a drying temperature of 20°C. There are reports showing that the probability of *Listeria* growth increases as fermentation temperature increases (Brusa et al., 2021; Polese et al., 2017). These reports are based on a growth/non-growth predictive model developed by Polese et al. (2017) for Italian artisanal salamis. The preprocessing conditions of artisanal Italian salamis differ from those used in this study; therefore, there may be discrepancies.

In this study, a *Listeria* reduction greater than  $3 \log_{10}$  CFU/g at the end of the process (28 days) was observed for all the experimental conditions, but in the experimental runs with Tdry 14°C and in those with Tferm 24°C and Tdry 17°C Listeria counts at 28 days ( $Y_{END}$ ) were higher than at the end of the 48 h fermentation step  $(Y_{48h})$ , suggesting that these conditions allowed L. monocytogenes to grow during the first days of the drying stage (Y<sub>END</sub> - Y<sub>48h</sub>  $\ge$  0.5 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g). This could be explained because when salami were placed at temperatures below 20°C during drying lactic acid bacteria metabolism (Mataragas et al., 2003) was slowed down causing a reduction in lactic acid and bacteriocin production (Mataragas et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2018), and therefore, Listeria grew until restrictive aw was reached. The salami produced under these conditions reached the restrictive conditions for *Listeria* growth in food (pH  $\leq$  5.0 and  $a_w \leq$  0.94 or  $a_w \leq$  0.92) at longer times compared to salami that did not show growth during drying (Tables B2 and B4 in Supplementary material). In addition, once the restrictive condition of  $a_w < 0.92$  was reached, Listeria counts remained unchanged until day 28. Hussein et al. (2022) reported that in L. monocytogenes, inoculated salami extending drying from 30 to 60 days at 21°C increases *Listeria* reduction by approximately  $2 \log_{10}$ 

CFU/g. This suggests that prolonging the drying time would be beneficial in further reducing *Listeria* counts.

In conclusion, this is the first study that reports the modeling of *Listeria* behavior under the process conditions (Tferm, Tdry, and caliber size) used in South America. The experimental data were obtained from salami produced in a pilot scale and inoculated with *Listeria innocua* ATCC® 33090 as a surrogate microorganism for *L. monocytogenes*.

According to our results, the use of 2% sodium lactate is not recommended since it compromises product safety. Another important observation is that different starter cultures produced different levels of *Listeria* reduction with a similar pH drop during the first 48 h of fermentation. Data also show that even though water activity has reached a value less than 0.92, *Listeria* in salami will survive for a time that can extend for several weeks. An extension of the drying time may benefit product safety allowing *Listeria* counts to decay before the product is released to the market.

Therefore, although pH drop during fermentation and water activity decrease during drying are parameters that must be controlled during salami production, to guarantee the safety of the processes, dry fermented sausage manufacturers should validate their formulation (starter culture used, ingredients, and amounts added) and processing conditions (fermentation temperature, drying temperature and caliber) to demonstrate that *Listeria* inactivation target (greater than 3 log CFU/g) and other pathogens inactivation are achieved. This validation should be carried out at the setting of the production process and after any change in salami formulation or processing conditions. Once the process's ability to reduce the level of *Listeria* by more than 3 log CFU/g has been validated, then pH and a<sub>w</sub> values during the process will become true safety indicators.

## CRediT authorship contribution statement

Giannina Brugnini: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. Jesica Rodríguez: Writing – review & editing, Investigation, Formal analysis. Soledad Rodríguez: Investigation, Formal analysis. Inés Martínez: Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. Ronny Pelaggio: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Investigation, Conceptualization. Caterina Rufo: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Supervision, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Data curation, Conceptualization.

### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the National Agency for Research and Innovation, ANII - for financially supporting the Project FMV\_3\_2018\_1\_148907. We also wish to thank Dr. Luis Repiso for sharing his experience on industrial salami production in Uruguay.

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfp.2024.100286.

#### References

American Meat Institute Foundation (AMI). (1997). Good Manufacturing Practices for Fermented Dry & Semi-Dry Sausage Products. American Meat Institute. chromeextension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://meathaccp.wisc.edu/ Model\_Haccp\_Plans/assets/GMP Dry Sausage.pdf

- Baranyi, J., & Roberts, T. A. (1994). A dynamic approach to predicting bacterial growth in food. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 23(3–4), 277–294. https://doi. org/10.1016/0168-1605(94)90157-0.
- Braga, V., Vázquez, S., Vico, V., Pastorino, V., Mota, M. I., Legnani, M., Schelotto, F., Lancibidad, G., & Varela, G. (2017). Prevalence and serotype distribution of *Listeria* monocytogenes isolated from foods in Montevideo-Uruguay. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 48(4), 689–694. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjm.2017.01.010.
- Brugnini, G., Rodríguez, S., Rodríguez, J., & Rufo, C. (2021). Effect of UV-C irradiation and lactic acid application on the inactivation of *Listeria monocytogenes* and lactic acid bacteria in vacuum-packaged beef. *Foods*, 10(6). https://doi.org/ 10.3390/foods10061217.
- Brusa, V., Prieto, M., Campos, C. A., Epszteyn, S., Cuesta, A., Renaud, V., Schembri, G., Vanzini, M., Michanie, S., Leotta, G., & Signorini, M. (2021). Quantitative risk assessment of listeriosis associated with fermented sausage and dry-cured pork shoulder consumption in Argentina. *Food Control*, 123. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.foodcont.2020.107705 107705.
- Buchanan, R. L., Gorris, L. G. M., Hayman, M. M., Jackson, T. C., & Whiting, R. C. (2017). A review of *Listeria monocytogenes*: An update on outbreaks, virulence, doseresponse, ecology, and risk assessments. *Food Control*, 75, 1–13. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.12.016.
- Casaburi, A., Aristoy, M.-C., Cavella, S., Di, R., Ercolini, D., Toldrá, F., & Villani, F. (2007). Biochemical and sensory characteristics of traditional fermented sausages of Vallo di Diano (Southern Italy) as affected by the use of starter cultures. *Meat Science*, 76, 295–307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2006.11.011.
- Casaburi, A., Di Martino, V., Ferranti, P., Picariello, L., & Villani, F. (2016). Technological properties and bacteriocins production by *Lactobacillus curvatus* 54M16 and its use as starter culture for fermented sausage manufacture. *Food Control*, 59, 31–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.05.016.
- Casaburi, A., Di, R., Cavella, S., Toldrá, F., Ercolini, D., & Villani, F. (2008). Proteolytic and lipolytic starter cultures and their effect on traditional fermented sausages ripening and sensory traits. *Food Microbiology*, 25, 335–347. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.fm.2007.10.006.
- Castellano, P., Belfiore, C., Fadda, S., & Vignolo, G. (2008). A review of bacteriocinogenic lactic acid bacteria used as bioprotective cultures in fresh meat produced in Argentina. *Meat Science*, 79(3), 483–499. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. meatsci.2007.10.009.
- ComBase Login. (n.d.). Retrieved March 21, 2023, from https://browser.combase.cc/ membership/Login.aspx?ReturnUrl = %2FDMFit Excel.aspx
- Cosansu, S., Geornaras, I., Ayhan, K., & Sofos, J. N. (2010). Control of Listeria monocytogenes by bacteriocin-producing Pediococcus acidilactici 13 and its antimicrobial substance in a dry fermented sausage sucuk and in turkey breast. Journal of Food and Nutrition Research, 49(4), 206–214.
- de Souza Barbosa, M., Todorov, S. D., Ivanova, I., Chobert, J. M., Haertlé, T., & de Melo Franco, B. D. G. (2015). Improving safety of salami by application of bacteriocins produced by an autochthonous *Lactobacillus curvatus* isolate. *Food Microbiology*, 46, 254–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2014.08.004.
- De Souza, J., Ahmed, R., Strange, P., Barbut, S., & Balamurugan, S. (2018). Effect of caliber size and fat level on the inactivation of *E. coli* O157:H7 in dry fermented sausages. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 266, 167–172. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.11.024.
- Decreto 588/008. (2008). Modificación del Reglamento Bromatológico Nacional. Chacinados. https://www.impo.com.uy/bases/decretos-reglamento/588-2008/2
- Degenhardt, R., & Anna, E. S. S. (2007). Survival of Listeria monocytogenes in low acid Italian sausage produced under Brazilian conditions. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, 38, 309–314. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822007000200024.
- Deumier, F., & Collignan, A. (2003). The effects of sodium lactate and starter cultures on pH, lactic acid bacteria, Listeria monocytogenes and Salmonella spp. levels in pure chicken dry fermented sausage. *Meat Science*, 65, 1165–1174. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0309-1740(02)00346-7.
- Dogan, O. B., Stratton, J., Arciniega, A., Clarke, J., Tamplin, M. L., Bianchini, A., & Wang, B. (2022). Quantitative modeling of the survival of Listeria monocytogenes in soy sauce-based acidified food products. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 370(May). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2022.109635.
- Doménech, E., Jimenez-Belenguer, A., Amoros, J. A., Ferrus, M. A., & Escriche, I. (2015). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella* strains isolated in ready-to-eat foods in Eastern Spain. *Food Control*, 47, 120–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.06.043.
- Drosinos, E. H., Mataragras, M., Anin, S.-V.-M., Gasparik-Reichardt, J., Mirza, H., & Alagic, D. (2006). Quantifying Nonthermal Inactivation of Listeria monocytogenes in European Fermented Sausages Using Bacteriocinogenic Lactic Acid Bacteria or Their Bacteriocins: A Case Study for Risk Assessment. *Journal of Food Protection, 69* (11), 2648–2663. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-69.11.2648.
- EFSA & ECDC (2021). The European Union One Health 2019 Zoonoses Report. EFSA Journal, 19(2), 82–83. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2021.6406.
- Friedly, E. C., Crandall, P. G., Ricke, S., O'Bryan, C. A., Martin, E. M., & Boyd, L. M. (2008). Identification of *Listeria innocua* Surrogates for *Listeria monocytogenes* in Hamburger Patties. *Food Microbiology and Safety*, 73(4), 174–178. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2008.00719.x.
- Gan, H. E., Karim, R., Muhammad, S. K. S., Bakar, J. A., Hashim, D. M., & Rahman, R. A. (2007). Optimization of the basic formulation of a traditional baked cassava cake using response surface methodology. *Lwt*, 40(4), 611–618. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.lwt.2006.05.005.
- Giello, M., La Storia, A., De Filippis, F., Ercolini, D., & Villani, F. (2018). Impact of Lactobacillus curvatus 54M16 on microbiota composition and growth of Listeria monocytogenes in fermented sausages. Food Microbiology, 72, 1–15. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.fm.2017.11.003.

Girard, J. P. (1991). Tecnología de la carne y de los productos cárnicos (1st ed.). Editorial Acribia.

- Houtsma, P. C., de Wit, J. C., & Rombouts, F. M. (1993). Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of sodium lactate for pathogens and spoilage organisms occurring in meat products. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 20(4), 247–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1605(93)90169-H.
- Hussein, M. H. M., Burroughs, S., Emch, A. W., & Waite-Cusic, J. (2022). Enhancing the reduction of Salmonella and Listeria monocytogenes during traditional salami processing by adding a finishing phase. Food Control, 131. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108432 108432.
- Intendencia Municipal de Montevideo. Resoluciones. (n.d.). IMM. Retrieved May 22, 2023, from https://www.montevideo.gub.uy/asl/sistemas/Gestar/resoluci.nsf
- International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods (ICMSF) (2005). Microorganisms in foods 5. Characteristics of Microbial Pathogens. Springer.
- Leistner, L. (2000). Basic aspects of food preservation by hurdle technology. Food Microbiology, 55, 181–186.
- Leroy, F., & De Vuyst, L. (1999). Temperature and pH conditions that prevail during fermentation of sausages are optimal for production of the antilisterial bacteriocin sakacin K. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 65(3), 974–981. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/aem.65.3.974-981.1999.
- Lin, K. W., & Lin, S. N. (2002). Effects of sodium lactate and trisodium phosphate on the physicochemical properties and shelf life of low-fat Chinese-style sausage. *Meat Science*, 60(2), 147–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740(01)00116-4.
- Lomonaco, S., Nucera, D., & Filipello, V. (2015). The evolution and epidemiology of Listeria monocytogenes in Europe and the United States. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 35, 172–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2015.08.008.
- Martín, I., Rodríguez, A., Delgado, J., & Córdoba, J. J. (2022). Strategies for Biocontrol of *Listeria monocytogenes* Using Lactic Dairy-Ripened Products. *Foods*, 11(4), 542, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11040542.
- Mataragas, M., Dimitriou, V., Skandamis, P. N., & Drosinos, E. H. (2011). Quantifying the spoilage and shelf-life of yoghurt with fruits. *Food Microbiology*, 28(3), 611–616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2010.11.009.
- Mataragas, M., Drosinos, E. H., Vaidanis, A., & Metaxopoulos, I. (2006). Development of a predictive model for spoilage of cooked cured meat products and its validation under constant and dynamic temperature storage conditions. *Journal of Food Science*, 71(6). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2006.00058.x.
- Mataragas, M., Metaxopoulos, J., Galiotou, M., & Drosinos, E. H. (2003). Influence of pH and temperature on growth and bacteriocin production by *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* L124 and *Lactobacillus curvatus* L442. *Meat Science*, 64(3), 265–271. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0309-1740(02)00188-2.
- Meloni, D. (2015). Presence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in mediterranean-style dry fermented sausages. *Foods*, 4(1), 34–50. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods4010034.
- Mor-Mur, M., & Yuste, J. (2010). Emerging bacterial pathogens in meat and poultry: An overview. Food and Bioprocess Technology, 3(1), 24–35. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11947-009-0189-8.
- Nielsen, J. W., Dickson, J. S., & Crouse, J. D. (1990). Use of bacteriocin produced by Pediococcus acidilactici to inhibit Listeria monocytogenes associated with fresh meat. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 56(7), 2142–2145. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/aem.56.7.2142-2145.1990.
- Nightingale, K. K., Lyles, K., Ayodele, M., Jalan, P., Nielsen, R., & Wiedmann, M. (2006). Novel method to identify source-associated phylogenetic clustering shows that *Listeria monocytogenes* includes niche-adapted clonal groups with distinct ecological preferences. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 44(10), 3742–3751. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/JCM.00618-06.
- Novelli, E., Santo, L. D., Balzan, S., Cardazzo, B., Spolaor, D., Lombardi, A., Carraro, L., & Fasolato, L. (2017). Analysis of process factors of dry fermented salami to control *Listeria monocytogenes. Italian Journal of Food Safety*, 6(1), 28–32. https://doi.org/ 10.4081/ijfs.2017.6184.
- Olaoye, O. A., Onilude, A. A., & Idowu, O. A. (2011). Microbiological Profile of Goat Meat Inoculated with Lactic Acid Bacteria Cultures and Stored at 30°C for 7 days.

Food and Bioprocess Technology, 4(2), 312-319. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-010-0343-3.

- Ordóñez, J. A., Hierro, E. M., Bruna, J. M., & De La Hoz, L. (1999). Changes in the components of dry-fermented sausages during ripening. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 39(4), 329–367. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10408699991279204.
- Pellicer, K., Copes, J., Leda, G., & Zaritzky, N. (2011). Behavior of Listeria monocytogenes type1 355/98 (85) in meat emulsions as affected by temperature, pH, water activity, fat and microbial preservatives. *Food Control*, 22(10), 1573–1581. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2011.03.013.
- Polese, P., Del Torre, M., & Stecchini, M. L. (2017). Prediction of the impact of processing critical conditions for Listeria monocytogenes growth in artisanal dryfermented sausages (salami) through a growth/no growth model applicable to timedependent conditions. *Food Control*, 75, 167–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/ i.foodcont.2016.12.002.
- Rees, C. E. D., Doyle, L., & Taylor, C. M. (2017). Listeria monocytogenes. In C. E. D. Rees, T. Aldsworth, R. A. Stein, D. O. Cliver, & H. P. Riemann (Eds.), Foodborne diseases (3rd ed., pp. 253–276). Academic Press https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385007-2.00012-7.
- Repiso, L. (2018). Productos chacinados secos fermentados vs inocuidad. Revista Carnes y Alimentos, 4–14.
- Rosario, D. K. A., Mutz, Y. S., Castro, V. S., Bernardes, P. C., Rajkovic, A., & Conte-Junior, C. A. (2021). Optimization of UV-C light and lactic acid combined treatment in decontamination of sliced Brazilian dry-cured loin: Salmonella Typhimurium inactivation and physicochemical quality. *Meat Science*, 172. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.meatsci.2020.108308 108308.
- Rust, R. E. (2015). US products-semidry sausage. In F. Toldrá (Ed.), Handbook of fermented meat and poultry (2nd ed., pp. 284). JohnWiley & Sons Ltd..
- Shelef, L. A. (1994). Antimicrobial effects of lactates: A review. Journal of Food Protection, 57(5), 445–450. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-57.5.445.
- Skandamis, P., & Gounadaki, A. (2006). Listeria monocytogenes and Listeriosis. In P. Ho & M. M. C. Vieira (Eds.), Case Studies in Food Safety and Environmental Health (pp. 33–38). Springer.
- Stekelenburg, F. K., & Kant-Muermans, M. L. (2001). Effects of sodium lactate and other additives in a cooked ham product on sensory quality and development of a strain of *Lactobacillus curvatus* and *Listeria monocytogenes*. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 66, 197–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1605(00)00521-3.
- Tirloni, E., Di Pietro, V., Rizzi, G., Pomilio, F., Cattaneo, P., Bernardi, C., & Stella, S. (2019). Non-thermal inactivation of *Listeria* spp. In a typical dry-fermented sausage: "Bergamasco" salami. *Italian Journal of Food Safety*, 8(3), 168–173. https://doi.org/ 10.4081/ijfs.2019.8112.
- Wang, F. S. (2000). Effects of three preservative agents on the shelf life of vacuum packaged Chinese-style sausage stored at 20°C. *Meat Science*, 56(1), 67–71. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740(00)00022-X.
- Weaver, R. A., & Shelef, L. A. (1993). Antilisterial activity of sodium, potassium or calcium lactate in pork liver sausage. *Journal of Food Safety*, 13(2), 133–146. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4565.1993.tb00101.x.
- Yang, E., Fan, L., Yan, J., Jiang, Y., Doucette, C., Fillmore, S., & Walker, B. (2018). Influence of culture media, pH and temperature on growth and bacteriocin production of bacteriocinogenic lactic acid bacteria. AMB Express, 8(1). https:// doi.org/10.1186/s13568-018-0536-0.
- Zheng, J., Wittouck, S., Salvetti, E., Franz, C. M. A. P., Harris, H. M. B., Mattarelli, P., O'toole, P. W., Pot, B., Vandamme, P., Walter, J., Watanabe, K., Wuyts, S., Felis, G. E., Gänzle, M. G., & Lebeer, S. (2020). A taxonomic note on the genus *Lactobacillus*: Description of 23 novel genera, emended description of the genus *Lactobacillus beijerinck* 1901, and union of Lactobacillaceae and Leuconostocaceae. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 70(4), 2782–2858. https://doi. org/10.1099/ijsem.0.004107.