

Non-Destructive Wood Quality Estimation From Standing Tree In Relation To End Product haracteristics Of Fast Growth Plantation Eucalypts I Uruguay (*Eucalyptus grandis* M.)

> \*Sadaaki OHTA, Hugo O'NEILL, Felipe TARIGO and Sebastian QUAGLIOTTI IUFRO Div. 5, October 29–November 2, TAIPEI、TAIWAN (LAboratorio Tecnologico Del Uruguay: LATU) <u>http://www.latu.org.uy</u> sohta@latu.org.uy

# **Introduction:**

Many non-destructive methods have been developed by a lot of researchers, and new methods for the field uses are going to study.

Fast growth plantation species, for example In Uruguay, cutting rotation in *E. grandis* is 18 - 20 years, for Pinus species is 20-23.

Sustainable forest management, for example, thinning, pruning, quality improvement by genetics are the most important for fast growing species.

In addition to these, Mechanical Stress Rating Lumbers are required by utilization side (end users).

**Optimization of wood processing and productions for added end product values will be important.** 

In this opportunity, we are aiming at wood characteristics estimation of young and small diameter thinning logs (9 to 10 years) which are taken from several sites, in Uruguay.



# Repburica Oriental del Uruguay



Plantation started from1978; Main species; *E. globulus ssp., E. grandis*, and *Pinus taeda, P. elliottii*.
Total plantation 800,000ha (75% Eucalypts, 24% Pinus spp.)

# Materials and methods

Eucalyptus grandis:

North : 9-10 years old (3rd thinning stand)

**South: 9-10 years old (3rd thinning stand)** 

**Central: 26 years old (Without management)** 

### Materials and methods

### Non destructive tests



Eucalypt forest



40 trozas fueron ensayadas y aserradas, las tablas obtenidas fueron secadas en homo de secado con destino a ensavos en madera colida y laminas para fabricación vigas laminadas y encoladas (glulam)



**Tested sample boards** 





### **Sample boards**

# NEXT OPORTUNITY



### LVL and Glue-Lam





Ensayos no destructivos de las vigas laminadas estimación del MOE (Fakopp, Sylvatest, FFT Analyzer)

Non destructive test and destructive test were carried out.

IAPON



Se determinó el módulo de elasticidad y módulo de rotura de las vigas mediante ensayo de flexión estática en máquina universal

\*Tree selection for the tests (50 trees) \* Standing tree MOE: FAKOPP **Density: Sample core** \* Logs MOE: FAKOPP and FFT-Analizer (at green condition) with bark, without bark Sawing **\*Boards (3 positions at least): and Moisture** content \* Green condition Kiln dry (13%)**•Board MOE and MOR: FAKOPP, FFT,** Universal testing machine, Density and MC. (Bending test, according to ASTM:) \* Clear small sample from each board tested **MOE, MOR, Density and MC. (Bending test** according to JAS), and other mechanical properties



**MOE: Boards** 

Standing tree No destructive test with FAKOPP Ultra sound propagation Distance from 1m to 2m

FAKOPP







# Increment core for density measurement

## Results

- 1 MOEst and Log MOE (MOElog) relationships 2 MOEst and real size board MOE relationships Radial direction and height of the stem (MOE and MOR)
- 3 Relationship between growth rate and MOE, and MOR
- 4 Classification of dimension lumber in order to JAS
- 5 Estimation of the end products from standing tree MOEst

# **MOEst and Log MOE (MOElog) relationships**



**Standing tree MOE and Log MOE** 



# 8000 10000 12000 14000 16000 MOEst (MPa)

**Relationship between MOEst and MOElog Southern** 

# **Results**

- 1 MOEst and Log MOE (MOElog) relationships 2 MOEst and real size board MOE relationships Radial direction and height of the stem (MOE and MOR) relationships
- 3 Relationship between growth rate and MOE, and MOR
- 4 Classification of dimension lumber in order to JAS
- 5 Estimation of the end products from standing tree MOEst



**Radial position of sawn boards** 



# (Stem height)

### **E.Grandis** : North location



# **MOE dunamic (MPa)**



#### **Relationships between MOEst and MOElog 2nd**



### **MOR** (boards tested from Northern location)

3 5 7 9 11 13 15 17 19 Tree No. and stem height (4m and 8m)

# Results

1 MOEst and Log MOE (MOElog) relationships 2 MOEst and real size board MOE relationships Radial direction and height of the stem (MOE and MOR) relationships

- 3 Relationship between growth rate and MOE, and MOR
- 4 Classification of dimension lumber in order to JAS
- 5 Estimation of the end products from standing tree MOEst



MOEst (MPa)



# **MOE** obtained by diferent methods





# Emergency!! MOR value according in the stem height. Especially, in case of real size boards.

- 1) Juvenile wood (not so much influence like as conifer species, however,,,
- 2) Defects; knots, ,
- 3) MOR has higher sensibility than MOE Small clear sample: MOR 2 times greater than real size boards.

### Mean value of MOE and MOR (Static bending)

Position of log tested	NO. of	Static tes	Basic	
	boards	ΜΟΕ	MOR	density
	tested	(MPa)	(MPa)	$(g/cm^3)$
<b>4</b> m	<b>76</b>	11402	55,9	0,447
<b>8</b> m	78	11696	49,6	0,429
<b>12m</b>	77	12190	45,6	0,451



# Results

- 1 MOEst and Log MOE (MOElog) relationships 2 MOEst and real size board MOE relationships Radial direction and height of the stem (MOE and MOR) relationships
- 3 Relationship between growth rate and MOE, and MOR
- 4 Classification of dimension lumber in order to JAS
- 5 Estimation of the end products from standing tree MOEst

### ACCORDING TO JAS

Categories	MOE	MOE	Dimensi lumber I	on 3A	Dimen lumbe	sion r B	Dimensio lumber	n C	
TAS	$10^3$ k of/cm <sup>2</sup>	(MPa)	No. of board	(%)	No. of board	(%)	No. of board	(%)	
< E 50	< 40	< 3920							
E 50	40 · 60	3920-5880							
E 70	60 · 80	5880-7850	1	1,4	1	1.1			
E 90	80 · 100	7850.9810	26	35,6	14	15,2	3	3,2	
<b>E 11</b> 0	$100 \cdot 120$	9810-11770	31	42,5	43	46,7	32	33,7	
E 130	$120 \cdot 140$	11770.13730	13	17,8	25	27,2	33	34,7	
E 150	$140 \cdot 160$	$13730 \cdot 15690$	2	2,7	8	8,7	24	25,3	
> E 150	> 160	> 15690			1	1.1	3	3.2	
Percent of board surpass grading value for									
construction									
According to JAS				98.6		98.9		100	

E110: < 99%,

**E 130:** board A; 20%, B; 34%, C; 63%

# **Results**

- 1 MOEst and Log MOE (MOElog) relationships 2 MOEst and real size board MOE relationships Radial direction and height of the stem (MOE and MOR) relationships
- 3 Relationship between growth rate and MOE, and MOR
- 4 Classification of dimension lumber in order to JAS
- 5 Estimation of the end products from standing tree MOEst



**Estimation of end products by standing tree MOE** (MPa)

### Conclusions

- 1) MOEst and MOElog : High correlation
- **2) Radial variation: Increasing from pith to bark (less influence than conifer sp.)**
- 3) Growth rate and MOE: very low relationships

4) Influences of the stem height: MOE increasing, MOR has a tendency to decrease, and in case of real size boards are more evident

5) Relationship stem height: If! No.1 log MOE is greater No2, No.3 log has a tendency to greater one. However MOR is decrease.

**Importance of forest management (**Clear cutting surface)

6) Structural uses: Majority of boards are acceptable

7) Wood quality estimation: very useful for the many research fields. Consideration: Moisture content, Properties of dynamic MOE, Defects, etc.

(OWARI:完、オワ

### 빗)



Fast growth Eucalyptus spp. and Pinus spp. Sustainable forest management

> See you at Next Conference of IUFRO, Donde?

# Thank you for your careful attention ! !

